FAST Act Emergency Vehicle (Ev2/Ev3) Posting

Jennifer Hart INDOT Bridge Evaluation



FHWA Load Rating for the FAST Act's EVs



Question 52

52. (Revision R01, March 16, 2018) A State has historically posted gross vehicle weight and not axle weight. In lieu of utilizing the recommended posting sign R12-7 or R12-7aP for emergency vehicles, can the State use the regular posting signs (such as R12-1, R12-5) to restrict all vehicles that exceed those posted weights?

Yes, it is acceptable to use a weight posting sign in lieu of R12-7 and R12-7aP with the following two conditions: 1) the posting limits on the sign are justified to be appropriate (generally conservative) in restricting the passage of the EVs; and 2) State law does not exempt the EVs from obeying the weight posting signs. However, for consistency and uniformity, it is strongly recommended that R12-7 or R12-7aP be utilized for bridges on the Interstate or within reasonable access.

Indiana Code 9-20 Size and Weight Regulation

IC 9-20

ARTICLE 20. SIZE AND WEIGHT REGULATION

Indiana Code Defines Size and Weight Limitations

IC 9-20-1-1 Operation of vehicles exceeding size and weight limitations; prohibition

Sec. 1. Except as otherwise provided in this article, a person, including a transport operator, may not operate or move upon a highway a vehicle or combination of vehicles of a size or weight exceeding the limitations provided in this article.

[Pre-1991 Recodification Citations: 9-1-4-18 part; 9-8-1-1 part.]

As added by P.L.2-1991, SEC.8. Amended by P.L.198-2016, SEC.336.

Indiana Code Allows for Exceptions

IC 9-20-2-2 "Farm vehicle loaded with a farm product" defined; application of article

Sec. 2. (a) As used in this section, "farm vehicle loaded with a farm product" includes a truck hauling unprocessed leaf tobacco.

(b) Except for interstate highway travel, this article does not apply to the following:

(1) Machinery or equipment used in highway construction or maintenance by the Indiana department of transportation, counties, or municipalities.

(2) Implements of agriculture when used during farming operations or when constructed so that the implements can be moved without material damage to the highways.

(3) Farm drainage machinery.

(c) This article does not apply to firefighting apparatus owned or operated by a political subdivision or volunteer fire department (as defined in IC 36-8-12-2).

(d) Except for interstate highway travel, this article does not limit the width or height of a farm vehicle loaded with a farm product. [Pre-1991 Recodification Citation: 9-8-1-19.9(a) part.]

As added by P.L.2-1991, SEC.8. Amended by P.L.81-1991, SEC.8; P.L.64-1994, SEC.1; P.L.1-1999, SEC.30; P.L.210-2005, SEC.32; P.L.25-2007, SEC.1.



FHWA Load Rating for the FAST Act's EVs



Load Rating for the FAST Act's Emergency Vehicles

REVISION R01

March 16, 2018

Office of Bridges and Structures Federal Highway Administration 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE Washington, DC 20590 **Question:** Does INDOT's current Bridge Inspection Manual guidance (*IDM 3-6.04*), allowing for the posting of a single tonnage GVW sign in lieu of the Emergency Vehicle sign, meet the requirements of FAST Act Q & A document?

Compare to Q & A Guidance

1. Is the posting limit on the sign justified to be appropriate (generally conservative) in restricting passage of the EVs?

And

2. Does State law **NOT** exempt EVs from obeying the weight posting signs?

Indiana FAST Act Vehicle Posting

Answer: Since the response is **NOT yes** for **BOTH** question 1 **AND** question 2, R12-7 or R12-7aP signs are to be utilized for bridges requiring posting for FAST Act Emergency Vehicles .

EMERGENCY VEHICLE WEIGHT LIMIT SINGLE AXLE 16T TANDEM 27T GROSS 38T

R12-7

EMERGENCY VEHICLES SINGLE AXLE 16T TANDEM 27T GROSS 38T

R12-7aP

Questions?







2022 Bridge Inspection Workshop: INDOT update of various Items

Presented: by Bill Dittrich Assistant Bridge Inspection Program Manager April 14, 2022



INDOT update

- 1. Discuss several Memos that are about to be released that will update the Bridge Inspection Manual
- 2. Review Delinquent Bridge Inspection Forms
- 3. Present the New QA Section can be seen in open BIAS reports (for INDOT only)
- 4. Present the New Collision Damage Report created in BIAS (for INDOT only)









Bridge Inspection Memos

All revisions to the Bridge Inspection Manual described in these memos have been incorporated into the current Indiana Bridge Inspection Manual (BIM) as of 11/24/2020.

Memos appear on this page for a period of 1 year following the date of the memo. After 1 year, they are moved to the Archived Bridge Inspection Memos page.

Subscribe to the County Bridges - Bridge Inspections Announcements GOVDELIVERY to receive automatic notification of changes concerning bridge inspection and updates to the Indiana Bridge Inspection Application System (BIAS). Please note that all BIAS users are automatically subscribed to the County Bridges - Bridge Inspection Announcements GOVDELIVERY here

Last Updated: 03/10/2022

| Number | Memo Date | Effective Date | Subject | Attachments |
|--------|--------------|----------------|---|-------------|
| 22-01 | 03/10/2022 | Immediately | Revised Indiana Bridge Inspection Manual | |
| 21-02 | 05/12/2021 | Immediately | Revised Indiana Bridge Inspection Manual | |
| 21-01 | 05/12/2021 | Immediately | Revised Indiana Bridge Inspection Manual | |
| 20-02 | 11/24/2020 | Immediately | Revised Indiana Bridge Inspection Manual | |
| 20-01 | 03/02/2020 | Immediately | Updated LPA Consultant Bridge Inspection Boilerplate Contract | |
| 19-07 | 12/30/2019 | Immediately | Compliance Month Update | |
| 19-06 | 12/17/2019 | Immediately | LPA Bridge Inspection Advance Notice to Proceed | |

- Three old Memos
- 1. Updates to Wearing Surface Part #7 of Manual (entire section)
- Extended Inspection Frequency has been suspended probably until new NBIS Regulations/Specifications are implemented – Part #2 of the Manual, see: 2-2.06(04)
- 3. The condition rating value to trigger a reduced inspection frequency will be changed for a rating value of a "4" to a "3". A reduced inspection frequency of a "4" will be at the discretion of the Team Leader on the inspection Part #2 of the Manual, see: **2-2.06(03)**



- Three new Memos
- 1. Changes to posting signs for Emergency Vehicles Part #3 of Manual
 - Start by compiling a list of bridges that will require this signage
- Quality Inspections Changes in QA requirements for firms conducting LPA Bridge Inspections
- 3. Updates to various item in the INDOT Tunnel Manual



DELINQUENT INSPECTION DOCUMENTATION

- Field Inspections MUST be done during their currently identified compliance month.
- Field Inspections may be done earlier if approved by either the SPM, Assistant Inspection Manager, or LPA Program Manager.



DELINQUENT INSPECTION DOCUMENTATION

- If an Inspection is late the inspection must be done as a "delinquent" inspection type.
- If an Inspection is late the Firm's BIAS Administrator or other Lead Bridge Inspector must notify INDOT Bridge Inspection Leadership immediately.



DELINQUENT INSPECTION DOCUMENTATION

 Delinquent inspection occurrences and circumstances shall be fully documented in a memo or email document and saved to the "Delinquent Inspections" section in the BIAS "Files" Section.



2-2.01(02) Delinquent Inspections:

 An inspection is considered delinquent when the inspection interval exceeds the required interval. If an inspection cannot be completed on time, the inspection team leader must notify the INDOT Bridge Inspection Manager prior to exceeding the inspection interval. That notice will include the Delinquent Inspection form located in BIAS under the Help tab in the documentation folder. The document is labeled "How to complete a Delinquent Inspection Form" The form is attached to the bottom of the instructions.



• Two Scenarios to be reviewed:

1. Entire (or most of) County is delinquent

 One or a few bridges are delinquent, but also as each bridge of #1 is inspected.



• Entire (or most of) County is delinquent

At upper right corner in BIAS – find county name:

| | | Ŕ | ☆ | |
|----------|---|-----------------|------|----|
| | | Type Asset Name | Here | ∷≡ |
| ils Repo | All Assets All Assets State Tounty ToLL-La Porte Test Assets Tunnel | | | |
| | Adams Co Allen Co American Stucturepoint Bartholomew Co | | | • |

- Entire (or most of) County is delinquent
 - At upper right corner in BIAS find county name --- Dubois County
 - Click on the Name of the County -- Dubois

| | | Ŕ | ☆ | - |
|-------|-----------|-----------------|------|----|
| | | Type Asset Name | Here | :≡ |
| | DEARBORN | | | - |
| tails | | | | |
| Repo | H- DEKALB | | | |
| | DELAWARE | | | |
| | DUBOIS | | | |
| | ELKHART | | | |
| | FAYETTE | | | |
| | FLOYD | | | |
| | FOUNTAIN | | | |
| | FRANKLIN | | | |
| | | | | |
| | GIRCON | | | - |



• Entire (or most of) County is delinquent

This will take you to the County Summary Report Page --- Go to Files

| Asset Details: DUBOIS | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------------|--------|-------------|------------|-----------------|-----|
| Quick View | Asset Info | Files | Maintenance | Scheduling | | |
| Attach Pictu | re/File | | | - | | 1 - |
| | | Select | | | File Type Photo | |
| _ | ~ / | | | | | |

• Entire (or most of) County is delinquent

Go to Help – located in top black ribbon, in BIAS

| \leftrightarrow > G | indot-it | .bentley.com | n/bridgedetail.a | spx?type=0&as | _id=2800 | | |
|-----------------------|---------------|--------------|------------------|---------------|----------|----------------|------|
| AssetWise | e Inspections | Main (| Collector M | aintenance | Manager | Administration | Help |
| Asset Detai | s: DUBOIS | | | | | | |
| Quick View | Asset Info | Files | Maintenance | e Schedulir | ng | | |
| Attach Pict | ure/File | | | | - | | |
| | | Select | | | | | |

• Entire (or most of) County is delinquent

Go to Help – Select "Documentation" – then How to Complete Delinquent Inspection Form

| AssetWise Inspections | Main C | Collector M | Maintenance | Manager | Administration | Help | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|----------------|-------------|---------|-----------------|---------------------|------------------------|-------------------|
| Documentation | | | | | | | | |
| Manual Name | | | | | Description | | | |
| BRADIN Open/Close/Postin | g Guidance | | | | BRADIN Ope | /Close/Posting Guid | dance | |
| Bridge File Naming Convent | tion | | | | Bridge File N | ming Convention | | |
| Critical Findings Instruction | S | | 1 | | Critical Findir | gs Instructions | | |
| How to Complete Delinque | nt Inspectio | on form w/ for | m | | How to Com | lete Delinquent Ins | pection Instructions a | and Editable Form |

Entire (or most of) County is delinquent
 Go to Help – Select "Documentation" – then How to Complete Delinquent
 Inspection Form



- Entire (or most of) County is delinquent
 - Go to Help Select "Documentation" then copy out Delinquent Inspection
 - Worksheet from Instructions

| | Delinquent Inspections Worksheet |
|-----------------|---|
| Count | y |
| NBI: | |
| Inspec | tion Due Date |
| Descri | ption of the unusual circumstance causing the delay |
| | |
| Any ki | now safety |
| conce | rn? |
| | |
| | ated inspection |
| Estima | |
| Estima date_ | |

• Entire (or most of) County is delinquent

Fill out **Delinquent Inspection Worksheet**, with County specific data

| Delinquent Inspections Worksheet | 7 🖺 |
|---|-----|
| County Dubois | |
| NBI: All Dubois County Bridges | |
| Inspection Due Date February 2022 | |
| Description of the unusual circumstance causing the delay Notice to Proceed provided late on 3/18/2022. | |
| | |
| Any know safety | |
| concern? ^{No} | - |
| | _ |
| Estimated inspection | |
| date 3/31/2022 | |

• Entire (or most of) County is delinquent

Add list of all **Delinquent** Bridges in the County

Dubois Co. 2022 Delinquent Inspection List

All 166 Dubois County Inspections performed after February Compliance month due to late notice to proceed.

| Asset Name | NBI 008: Structure |
|-----------------|--|
| | 1000001 |
| <u>19-00001</u> | 1900001 |
| <u>19-00002</u> | 1900002 |
| <u>19-00003</u> | 1900003 |
| <u>19-00004</u> | 1900004 |
| <u>19-00005</u> | 1900005 |
| <u>19-00006</u> | 1900006 |
| <u>19-00008</u> | 1900007 |
| <u>19-00010</u> | 1900177 |
| <u>19-00011</u> | 1900008 |
| <u>19-00013</u> | 1900010 |
| <u>19-00014</u> | 1900011 |
| <u>19-00016</u> | 1900012 |
| <u>19-00017</u> | 1900013 |
| <u>19-00019</u> | 1900015 |
| | Asset Name 19-00001 19-00002 19-00003 19-00004 19-00005 19-00006 19-00008 19-00010 19-00011 19-00011 19-00013 19-00014 19-00014 19-00017 19-00019 |

• Entire (or most of) County is delinquent

Attach Delinquent Form and list of bridges that are delinquent to Files

| No files to upload | Attach |
|-------------------------|---|
| Filter By File Date: | File Name: File Description: |
| Asset and Reports | ✓ Photos (0) No Photos found |
| | ✓ Postings (0) No Postings found |
| | ✓ Map (0) No Map found |
| | ✓ Delinquent Inspections (1) |
| | Category All File Name: Dubois Cty Del Summary.pdf File Date: 03/28/2022 Description: Categories: Notice to Proceed Late Linked Fields: |
| | Delete |

NextLevel

• One or a few bridges are delinquent – or as you inspect each bridge in an entire county that is delinquent --- Bridge due to be inspected in March 2022



• Create a new Routine Inspection Report --- Add Delinquent



 You will see today's date in the Create and Inspection Date and Routine/Delinquent Inspection Types and a <u>Delinquent Form</u> was added





• Make sue that you change the Item 90 Inspection Date



• Click on the **Delinquent Inspection Reporting** Form and fill out



• Some data is pre-populated in form – see yellow items

| | Delinquent Inspection Form |
|--|--|
| Asset Name Test Asset 1 | Inspector Name Dittrich, William Asset Code TA1 |
| NBI 008: Structure Number test as | Team Leader For Reports |
| NBI 002: District 01 - NBI 0 | 03: County Code 065 NBI 091: Designated Inspection Frequency 24 |
| NBI 090: Inspection Date 03/20/20 | 20 Deliquent Inspection Type |
| Actual Month Due | Actual Year Due Delinquent Reason |
| Did you Reset Scheduler to next Co Inspection Date? | errect - |
| Additional Comments | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | 1 |

• Fill in blank data fields --- Make sure that you change the Item 90 Date

| Asset Name Test Asset 1 Inspector Name Dittrich, William Asset Code TA1 NBI 008: Structure Number test asset 1 Team Leader For Reports Dittrich, William NBI 002: District 01 NBI 003: County Code 065 NBI 091: Designated Inspection Frequency 24 NBI 090: Inspection Date 04/06/2022 Deliquent Inspection Type Routine Actual Month Due March Actual Year Due 2022 Deliquent Reason Late Notice to Proceed Did you Reset Scheduler to next Correct Yes Additional Comments Received NTP on 04/01/2022, for entire county. Required resubmittal to STIP. | Delinquent Inspection Form |
|---|--|
| NBI 008: Structure Number test asset 1 Team Leader For Reports Dittrich, William • NBI 002: District 01 NBI 003: County Code 065 • NBI 091: Designated Inspection Frequency 24 NBI 090: Inspection Date 04/06/2022 Image: County Code 065 • NBI 091: Designated Inspection Frequency 24 NBI 090: Inspection Date 04/06/2022 Image: County Code • • • Actual Month Due March • Actual Year Due 2022 • Deliquent Reason Late Notice to Proceed • Did you Reset Scheduler to next Correct Yes • Additional Comments Received NTP on 04/01/2022, for entire county. Required resubmittal to STIP. Frequence • | Asset Name Test Asset 1 Inspector Name Dittrich, William Asset Code TA1 |
| NBI 002: District 01 NBI 003: County Code 065 NBI 091: Designated Inspection Frequency 24 NBI 090: Inspection Date 04/06/2022 Image: County Code 065 NBI 091: Designated Inspection Frequency 24 Actual Month Due March Actual Year Due 2022 Deliquent Reason Late Notice to Proceed Image: County Co | NBI 008: Structure Number test asset 1 Team Leader For Reports Dittrich, William |
| NBI 090: Inspection Date 04/06/2022 Actual Month Due March Actual Month Due March Actual Year Due 2022 Deliquent Inspection Type Received NTP on 04/01/2022, for entire county. Required resubmittal to STIP. | NBI 002: District 01 NBI 003: County Code 065 NBI 091: Designated Inspection Frequency 24 |
| Actual Month Due March Actual Year Due 2022 Delinquent Reason Late Notice to Proceed Did you Reset Scheduler to next Correct Yes Additional Comments Received NTP on 04/01/2022, for entire county. Required resubmittal to STIP. | NBI 090: Inspection Date 04/06/2022 |
| Did you Reset Scheduler to next Correct Yes Inspection Date? Additional Comments Received NTP on 04/01/2022, for entire county. Required resubmittal to STIP. | Actual Month Due March Actual Year Due 2022 Delinquent Reason Late Notice to Proceed |
| Additional Comments Received NTP on 04/01/2022, for entire county. Required resubmittal to STIP. | Did you Reset Scheduler to next Correct Yes - |
| | Additional Comments Received NTP on 04/01/2022, for entire county. Required resubmittal to STIP. |
| | |
| | |
Make sure that NBI Item 90 Date, in the <u>National Bridge Inventory</u> Form, has correct inspection date





 Make sure that you <u>always</u> run the <u>NBI Error Check</u> before submitting a report to be approved.

| | Test Asset 1 |
|-----|--|
| | X 9 % 🗎 🧷 🕯 |
| Fo | rms |
| N R | Report Info Inspection Info Report Sections Pictures/Files Location Map Asset Files NBI Error Check Forms |

NBI Error Check

Test Asset 1 (Test Assets), Report Date: 04/06/2022 - No errors found



• After Approving your report, check <u>Asset Info</u> – you will see the inspection Type as: <u>Routine and Delinquent</u> – This what INDOT and FHWA are looking for

| A | sset Details: Te | est Asset 1 | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|------------|-------------------|--------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| ç | Quick View | sset Info | Files | Maintenance | Scheduling | | | | |
| | ✓ Completed | Reports | | | , | | | | |
| | Inspection Date | T Re | Last vision | Asset Code | Т | Owner T | Asset Type 👕 | Inspection Type 🝸 | NBI 007: Facility Carri |
| | 4/6/2022 | 4/7 | /2022 | TA1 | | Dittrich, William | Bridge | Routine and Delinquent | MIGHTY HIGHWAY |
| | 4/4/2022 | 4/5 | /2022 | TA1 | | Street, Shari | Bridge | Collision Damage | AAA HWY |
| | 4/4/2022 | 4/6 | /2022 | TA1 | | Street, Shari | Bridge | Collision Damage | MIGHTY HIGHWAY |
| | 1/18/2022 | 1/1 | 8/2022 | TA1 | | Trana, Pedro A. | Bridge | Scour Assessment | AAA HWY |
| | 1/18/2022 | 1/1 | 8/2022 | TA1 | | Trana, Pedro A. | Bridge | Scour Screening | AAA HWY |
| | 3/20/2020 | 4/6 | /2022 | TA1 | | Dittrich, William | Bridge | Routine | MIGHTY HIGHWAY |

 Return to the <u>Quick View</u> Tab and update the <u>Inspection Schedule</u> to get back to the <u>COMPLIANCE MONTH</u> OF <u>March</u>

| Ouick View | Asset Info | Files N | Aaintenance | Scheduling | | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|------------|---------|---------|
| quien nem | 765000 | | | Scheduling | | | |
| Parent Asset: T | est Assets | | | | | | |
| Asset Name: Te | st Asset 1 🛤 | | | | | | |
| Asset Code: TA1 | | | | | | | |
| Asset Type: Brid | lge | | | | | | |
| Structure Statu | is: Archived | | | | | | |
| NBI 098A: Bord | er Bridge: Neigh | boring State C | ode: | | | | |
| NBI 099: Border | Bridge Structu | re Number: | | | | | |
| NBI 098B: Bord | er Bridge: Percei | nt Responsibil | ity: | | | | |
| Border Bridge: | No | | | | | | |
| Lead State: N/A | | | | | | | |
| Add'l Structure | Details: | | | | | | |
| NBI 007: Facility | y Carried by Stru | icture: MIGHT | Y HIGHWAY | | | | |
| NBI 006: Featur | e Intersected: M | IIGHTY RIVER | | | | | |
| NBI 009: Locati | on: 0.31 E LOWLY | ROAD | | | | | |
| NBI 011: Milepo | int: 0000.000 | | | | | | |
| NBI 016: Latitue | de: 37.81490 | | | | | | |
| NBI 017: Longit | ude: -88.04961 | | | | | | |
| NBI 058: Deck: (| 5 - Satisfactory Co | ondition (minor | deterioration) | | | | |
| | | | ome minor prob | lems) | | | |
| NBI 059: Supers | structure: / - Goo | oa Condition (s | | | | | |
| NBI 059: Supers NBI 060: Substr | ucture: 4 - Poor | Condition (adva | anced deteriorat | ion) | | | |
| NBI 059: Supers NBI 060: Substr NBI 061: Chann | ucture: 4 - Poor (el and Channel I | Condition (adva Protection: 4 - | anced deteriorat Protect. severely | ion) y undermined. se | v. damage | Wrong D | ue Date |
| NBI 059: Supers NBI 060: Substr NBI 061: Chann NBI 062: Culver | el and Channel I ts: N - Not Applic | Condition (adva Condition (adva Protection: 4 - able | Protect. severely | ion) y undermined. se | v. damage | Wrong D | ue Date |
| NBI 059: Supers NBI 060: Substr NBI 061: Chann NBI 062: Culver NBI 041: Struct | ucture: 7 - Goo el and Channel I ts: N - Not Applic ure Open, Poste | Condition (adva Condition (adva Protection: 4 - able d, or Closed to | Protect. severely | ion) y undermined. so n | ev. damage | Wrong D | ue Date |
| NBI 059: Supers NBI 060: Substr NBI 061: Chann NBI 062: Culver NBI 041: Structo MAD-RP: MAD-Offset: | ucture: 7 - Goo ucture: 4 - Poor el and Channel I ts: N - Not Applic ure Open, Poste | Condition (adva Protection: 4 - able d, or Closed to | anced deteriorat Protect. severely • Traffic: A - Ope | ion) y undermined. so n | v. damage | Wrong D | ue Date |
| NBI 059: Supers NBI 060: Substr NBI 061: Chann NBI 062: Culver NBI 041: Structo MAD-RP: MAD-Offset: | ucture: 7 - Goo ucture: 4 - Poor el and Channel I ts: N - Not Applic ure Open, Poste | Condition (s Condition (adva Protection: 4 - able d, or Closed to | nced deteriorat Protect. severely | ion) y undermined. si n | w. damage | Wrong D | ue Date |
| NBI 059: Supers NBI 060: Substr NBI 061: Chann NBI 062: Culver NBI 041: Structo MAD-RP: MAD-Offset: | ucture: 4 - Boor el and Channel I ts: N - Not Applic ure Open, Poste | Condition (s Condition (adva Protection: 4 - able d, or Closed to | anced deteriorat Protect. severely • Traffic: A - Ope | ion) y undermined. si | w. damage | Wrong D | ue Date |
| NBI 059: Supers NBI 060: Substr NBI 061: Chann NBI 062: Culver NBI 041: Structo MAD-RP: MAD-Offset: | ports | Condition (s Condition (adva Protection: 4 - able d, or Closed to | anced deteriorat Protect. severely • Traffic: A - Ope | ion) y undermined. si n | ev. damage | Wrong D | ue Date |
| NBI 059: Supers NBI 060: Substr NBI 061: Chann NBI 062: Culver NBI 041: Structo MAD-RP: MAD-Offset: | ports | on Condition (s Condition (adva Protection: 4 - able d, or Closed to | anced deteriorat Protect. severely • Traffic: A - Ope | ion) y undermined. si n | ev. damage | Wrong D | ue Date |
| NBI 059: Supers NBI 060: Substr NBI 061: Chann NBI 062: Culver NBI 041: Structo MAD-RP: MAD-Offset: V Open Re No open repor | ports ts found for this | Condition (s Condition (adva Protection: 4 - able d, or Closed to | anced deteriorat Protect. severely • Traffic: A - Ope | ion) y undermined. si n | v. damage | Wrong D | ue Date |
| NBI 059: Supers NBI 060: Substr NBI 061: Chann NBI 062: Culver NBI 041: Structo MAD-RP: MAD-Offset: V Open Re No open repor V Schedulin | el and Channel I ts: N - Not Applic ure Open, Poster ports ts found for this | a condition (adva Condition (adva Protection: 4 - able d, or Closed to | anced deteriorat Protect. severely • Traffic: A - Ope | ion) y undermined. si n | v. damage | Wrong D | ue Date |
| NBI 059: Supers NBI 060: Substr NBI 061: Chann NBI 062: Culver NBI 041: Structo MAD-RP: MAD-Offset: V Open Re No open repor Schedulin | ports ts found for this polar ule Type | Activity Types | Traffic: A - Ope | n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n | ev. damage | Wrong D | ue Date |
| NBI 059: Supers NBI 060: Substr NBI 061: Chann NBI 062: Culver NBI 041: Structo MAD-RP: MAD-Offset: V Open Re No open repor Schedulin | ports ts found for this polar ule Type | Activity Types | Traffic: A - Ope | n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n n | ev. damage | Wrong D | ue Date |

• Go to Scheduling and change the **Routine Inspection Schedule**

| Asset Details: | Test Asset 1 | | | | | | | | Sent Emails | +Show More Details | 🗷 Edit Asset Values | Show on Map | +Create Report |
|----------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------------|------------|---------------|---------------|----------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|-------------|----------------|
| Quick View | Asset Info | Files | Maintenance | Scheduling | | | | | | | | | |
| ✓ Scheduled | Activities | | | | | | | | | | | | ≡ |
| Schedule Type | 2 | Activity Types | Frequency | Last Activity Date | Due Date | Schedule Date | User Assigned | Comments | | | | Ongoing | |
| Inspection Ty | pe F | Routine | 24 Months | 04/06/2022 | April 2024 | 04/06/2024 | | | | | | | î î |
| | | | | | | | < | 1 > | | | | | Page 1 of 1 |
| ✓ Asset's Rec | urring Activ | ity Types | | | | | | | | | | | ≡ |
| Schedule Type | 2 | | | | Ac | tivity Types | | | Frequency | | | | |
| Inspection Ty | pe | | | | Ro | utine | | | Every 24 Months, in | the Month | | | ı î |
| | | | | | | | < | 1 > | | | | | Page 1 of 1 |



• Go to Scheduling and change the **<u>Routine Inspection Schedule</u>**

| Add/Edit Non-Re | ecurring Inspection | Add/Edit Non-Re | ecurring Inspect | ion | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|------|--------|--|
| Inspection Type: | Routine | Inspection Type: | Routine | | | |
| Activity/Schedule: | Routine (Recurring) | Activity/Schedule: | Routine (Recurring) | | | |
| *Due Date: | April 2024 | *Due Date: | March 2024 | | | |
| Schedule Date: | 04/06/2024 | Schedule Date: | 03/06/2024 | | | |
| User Assigned to Inspection | Select V | User Assigned to Inspection: | Select | | ~ | |
| Comments: | | Comments: | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Save | | | Save | Cancel | |
| | | | ~~~ | | | |

• The **Routine Inspection Schedule** should be updated

| | . Test Asset | 1 | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------|--------------------|------------|---------------|
| Quick View | Asset Info | Files | Maintenance | Scheduling | | |
| Scheduled | l Activities | | | | | |
| Schedule Typ | e | Activity Types | Frequency | Last Activity Date | Due Date | Schedule Date |
| Inspection T | уре | Routine | 24 Months | 04/06/2022 | March 2024 | 03/06/2024 |

 Return to the Quick View and verify that the <u>Routine Inspection Schedule</u> has been updated

| Quick View | Asset Info | Files | Maintenance | Scheduling | | | | |
|--|--|--|-----------------------------------|------------------------|-------------|------------|---------------|-------------|
| Parent Asset: Te Asset Name: Tes Asset Code: TA1 Asset Type: Brid Structure Status NBI 098A: Border NBI 098B: Border NBI 098B: Border Border Bridge: N Lead State: N/A Add'I Structure NBI 007: Facility NBI 006: Feature NBI 009: Locatio NBI 011: Milepo NBI 016: Latitud | st Assets at Asset 1 ge s: Archived r Bridge: Neighl Bridge Structur r Bridge: Percer lo Details: Carried by Stru e Intersected: M n: 0.31 E LOWLY int: 0000.000 e: 37.81490 vide: -82.04061 | ooring State e Number: at Responsit at Responsit at Responsit Roger State Roger State Roge | e Code: bility: ITY HIGHWAY | | | | | |
| NBI 017: Longitu NBI 058: Deck: 6 | - Satisfactory Co | ndition (min | or deterioration) | | | - | | |
| NBI 059: Supers | tructure: 7 - Goo | d Condition | (some minor probl | ems) | | | | |
| NBI 060: Substru NBI 061: Channe | and Channel F | Protection (ad | 4 - Protect, severely | on) undermined. sev | . damage | | | |
| NBI 062: Culvert | s: N - Not Applica | able | | | | | | |
| NBI 041: Structu | re Open, Posteo | l, or Closed | to Traffic: A - Oper | n | | | | |
| MAD-RP: | | | | | | | | |
| MAD-Offset: ✓ Open Rep | oorts | | | | | | | |
| No open report | s found for this | asset | | | | | | |
| ∽ Schedulir | g | | | | | | | |
| Schedu | le Type | Activ Type | ity Freque | ncy Last Ac | tivity Date | Due Date | Schedule Date | J G INDIANA |
| Inspect | tion Type | Routin | ne 24 Mon | oths 04/06/ | 2022 | March 2024 | 03/06/2024 | |

- •Good Job!!
- This is what is needed in BIAS, to stay in Compliance with NBIS Regulations, when we have delinquent inspections.



- INDOT performs Quality Assurance (QA) on all Bridge Inspections conducted by INDOT Bridge Inspectors.
- This can be checked, by reviewing how an Inspection Report in BIAS has workflowed from <u>in-progress</u> to <u>approved</u>.
- INDOT wants to more easily show that we performed QA and to provide better feedback to our inspectors from the QA.



• New QA Section can be seen by either Read Only or Edit Asset Views in BIAS

| | Type Asset Name Here |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Sent Emails | View Asset Values Show on Map |
| | Type Asset Name Here |
| Sent Emails +Show More Details | Asset Values Show on Map + Create Report |



• The new QA Section is in the National Bridge Inventory Form



• The new QA Section (right of middle) will show as blank until edited

| | <u>National Bri</u> | <u>dge Inventory</u> | Quality Control Review | Test Asset 1 |
|---|---|--|---------------------------------------|---|
| Status 1 | | SUFFICIENCY RATING 62.5 | REVIEWER Dittrich, William | |
| Ident | tification | Condition | DATE OF REVIEW | Forms |
| (1) STATE CODE (8) STRUCTURE NUMBER (5) INV. ROUTE (ON/UNDER) (2) HIGHWAY AGENCY DISTRICT (3) COUNTY CODE (4) PLACE CODE (6) FEATURES INTERSECTED (7) FACILITY CARRIED | 185 • test asset 1 • 1 • • 01 • 065 • 00000 • MIGHTY RIVER MIGHTY HIGHWAY | (58) DECK Rating Comments 6 (58.01) WEARING SURFACE Rating Comments 6 Comments | (58) DECK (58) COMMENTS | Report Info Report Sections Pictures/Files Location Map Asset Files NBI Error Check Field Notes National Bridge Inventory Under Records NBI Calculations Element Inspection |
| (9) LOCATION (11) MILEPOINT INV TYPE M T REF POST (12) BASE HIGHWAY NETWORK | 0.31 E LOWLY ROAD 0000.000 INV RT# | (59) SUPERSTRUCTURE Rating Comments | (59.0) SUPERSTRUCTURE (59.0) COMMENTS | Executive Summary Scour Plan of Action-W Delinquent Inspection Reporting Misc Asset Data Miscellaneous Asset Data MAD2 Scour Channel Profile |
| (13A) LRS INVENTORY ROUTE (13B) SUBROUTE NUMBER (16) LATITUDE (17) LONGITUDE (98A) BORDER BRIDGE CODE | 37.81490 -88.04961 | PAINT CONDITION PAINT YEAR | | Bridge POA Monitoring Log Scour Analysis Scour Analysis Maintenance Maintenance - Bridge Critical Finding Critical Finding Critical Finding |
| (988) PERCENT RESPONSIBILITY (99) BORDER BRIDGE STRUCT NO Structure Type, MAIN | pe and Material | (60) SUBSTRUCTURE Rating Comments 4 | (60.0) SUBSTRUCTURE (60.0) COMMENTS | Load Rating - Bradin Load Rating - Bradin History Add'l History Consultant |

- The new QA Section only covers a few key items
- Item 58 Deck
- Item 58.01 Wearing Surface
- Item 59 Superstructure
- Item 60 Substructure
- Item 61 Channel
- Item 41 Posting
- Item 41A Signs in place
- Item 41B Photos of posting signs
- Item 113 Scour Critical



Brief Example of how this will work.

Below shows a report that has been completed and has been submitted for review.

| NBI 007: Facility Carried by Structure | NBI 006: Feature Intersected | Submitted To | WorkflorsStage | Status |
|--|------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| MIGHTY HIGHWAY | MIGHTY RIVER | Dittrich, William (comments) | Data Entry Complete | Moved 🖋 |
| < 1 > | | | | |



Typical QA positions, (in dropdown menu).

| DATE OF REVIEW | |
|----------------|---------------|
| (58) DECK | (58) COMMENTS |
| AGREE | Need comments |
| AGREE | |
| AGREE + ONE | |
| AGREE - ONE | |
| DISAGREE | |



Example – shot #1 of 4

| <u>je inventory</u> | Qualit | y Control Review |
|-------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| SUFFICIENCY RATING 62.5 | REVIEWER | Dittrich, William 💌 |
| Condition | DATE OF REVIEW | 04/07/2022 |
| 58) DECK | (58) DECK | (58) COMMENTS |
| ating Comments | AGREE | Need comments |
| | | (58.01) COMMENTS |
| ating Comments | (JULUT) WEAKING SUKI ACES | (30.01) COMMENTS |
| 6 ▼ | AGREE | Need comments |
| 59) SUPERSTRUCTURE | (59.0) SUPERSTRUCTURE | (59.0) COMMENTS |
| T Comments | AGREE | |

Example – shot #2 of 4

| (60) SUBSTRUCTURE | (60.0) SUBSTRUCTURE | (60.0) COMMENTS | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Rating Comments | DISAGREE | Need comments on why this rates a "4". | |
| (61) CHANNEL & CHANNEL PROTECTION Rating Comments 4 | (61.0) CHANNEL & CHANNEL PROTECTION DISAGREE | (61.0) COMMENTS | |
| (62) CULVERT | (62.0) CULVERT | (62) COMMENTS | |
| | | | |

Example – shot #3 of 4

| (66C) TONS POSTED | | | | |
|---|-----|--------------------------------|----------------|----|
| (66D) DATE POSTED/CLOSED | | | | |
| (70) BRIDGE POSTING | 5 👻 | | | |
| (41) STRUCTURE OPEN/POSTED/CLOSED | A | (41) POSTING REQUIRED ? | (41) COMMENTS | |
| Appraisal | | NO | ОК | |
| (67) STRUCTURAL EVALUATION | 4 | | | |
| (68) DECK GEOMETRY | 5 | (41A)IF POSTING REQUIRED, | (41A) COMMENTS | |
| (69) UNDERCLEARANCES, VERTICAL & HORIZONTAL | N | ARE SIGNS IN PLACE ? | | |
| (71) WATERWAY ADEQUACY | | | | |
| Rating Comments | | | | // |
| 4 - | | (41B) PHOTO DOCUMENTATION ? | (41B) COMMENTS | |
| | | N/A 🔻 | | |
| | / | 1977 | | |
| | | | | / |
| (72) APPROACH ROADWAY ALIGNMENT | | | | |
| | | | | |

NextLevel

INDOT update – New QA section in BIAS reports Example – shot #4 of 4

| | Classification | on | | |
|-------------------|----------------------------|----|--|--|
| • | Spread Footings, No Piles. | | AGREE w/ number code | |
| 13) SCOU tatus | R CRITICAL BRIDGES | | (113) SCOUR CRITICAL (113) COMMENTS BRIDGES | |
| 360 | D) APPROACH GUARDRAIL ENDS | 0 | | |



Example – With two disagrees, this report would be sent back to the Inspector to address the issues and then re-submitted for a second QA review. See below for Second QA review.

| <u>ge Inve</u> | ntory | Qualit | y Control Review | | |
|----------------|--|--------------------------|-------------------|------------|-------|
| SUFFICIENCY | (RATING 62.5 | REVIEWER | Dittrich, William | • | |
| | Condition | DATE OF REVIEW | | 04/08/2022 | 1.1 |
| (58) DECK | | (58) DECK | (58) COMMENTS | | |
| Rating | Comments Transverse cracks with salt leaching at +- 6-foot spacing under entire deck | AGREE | Need comments | | |
| | | | | | |
| (58.01) WEAR | ING SURFACE | (58.01) WEARING SURFACES | (58.01) COMMENTS | | |
| Rating | Comments | | | | |
| <mark>6</mark> | One 6-inch diameter spall, (4" deep) at centerline, at mid span. | AGREE | Need comments | | |
| | 0.04" wide transverse cracks, spaced at +- 6-feet, entire length of deck. | | | | |
| (59) SUPERST | RUCTURE | (59.0) SUPERSTRUCTURE | (59.0) COMMENTS | | _ |
| Rating | Comments | | | | ohn u |
| 7 - | Five Steel Beams in Cross-section 30WF-180. | AGREE | | | |
| | | | | 2 | • |

Example – With two disagrees, this report would be sent back to the Inspector to address the issues and then re-submitted for a second QA review. See below for Second QA review.

| PAINT COND | ITION PAINT YEAR 1988 | | | |
|------------|--|--------------------------|-----------------|-----|
| Rating | Comments | | | |
| 5 - F 💌 | Good at mid-span, corroded with minor section loss | | | |
| | within 3-feet of each end of the deck | | | - F |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| 60) SUBSTR | UCTURE | (60.0) SUBSTRUCTURE | (60.0) COMMENTS | |
| Rating | Comments | | | _ |
| 4 - | Two wide (0.15") cracks underneath all four bearings, | AGREE | Good | |
| | with deep red rust staining. Deep scour underneath | | | |
| | the East Abutment footing. Footing undermined about | | | 4 |
| | 15% (4-feet wide and back underneath 2-feet). Critical | | | |
| | Finding submitted | | | |
| | | | | |
| 61) CHANNE | EL & CHANNEL PROTECTION | (61.0) CHANNEL & CHANNEL | (61.0) COMMENTS | |
| Rating | Comments | PROTECTION | | |
| 4 - | Channel has been re-directed by an abandoned car that is | AGREE | Good | |
| - | now in the channel upstream of the bridge. This is re- | | | |
| | directing the channel flow right at the east abutment. | | | 4 |
| | causing scour. (Owner of bridge has been notified and | | | |
| | a support of the second s | | | |

Example – Report was approved. <u>Edit Asset Data</u> and <u>View Asset Data</u> will show QA data.

| | National Bri | <u>dge Inv</u> | entory_ | Qualit | v Control Review |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|---|--------------------------|-------------------|
| Status 1 | | SUFFICIENC | CY RATING 62.5 | REVIEWER | Dittrich, William |
| Identi | ification | | Condition | DATE OF REVIEW | 04/08/2022 |
| (1) STATE CODE | 185 💌 | (58) DECK | | (58) DECK | (58) COMMENTS |
| (8) STRUCTURE NUMBER | test asset 1 | Rating | Comments | AGREE | Good |
| (5) INV. ROUTE (ON/UNDER) | 1 • 4 • 1 • 00000 0 • | | under entire deck | | |
| (2) HIGHWAY AGENCY DISTRICT | 01 - | | | | |
| (3) COUNTY CODE | 065 💌 | (58.01) WEA | RING SURFACE | (58.01) WEARING SURFACES | (58.01) COMMENTS |
| (4) PLACE CODE | 00000 - | Rating | Comments | | |
| (6) FEATURES INTERSECTED | MIGHTY RIVER | 6 🔻 | One 6-inch diameter spall, (4" deep) at centerline, at mid span. | AGREE | Good |
| (7) FACILITY CARRIED | MIGHTY HIGHWAY | | 0.04" wide transverse cracks, spaced at +- 6-feet, entire | | |
| (9) LOCATION | 0.31 E LOWLY ROAD | | | | |
| (11) MILEPOINT | 0000.000 | (59) SUPERS | STRUCTURE | (59.0) SUPERSTRUCTURE | (59.0) COMMENTS |
| INV TYPE M | INV RT# | Rating | Comments Five Steel Beams in Cross-section 30WF-180. | AGREE | ОК |
| REF POST | OFFSET | | | | |
| (12) BASE HIGHWAY NETWORK | 0 • | | | | |
| (13A) LRS INVENTORY ROUTE | | | | | |
| (13B) SUBROUTE NUMBER | | Rating | Comments | | |
| (16) LATITUDE | 37.81490 | 5 - F 🔻 | Good at mid-span, corroded with minor section loss within 3-feet of each end of the deck | | |
| (17) LONGITUDE | -88.04961 | | | | |
| (98A) BORDER BRIDGE CODE | | | | | |

Example – Printed Reports do NOT show QA data.

CONDITION COMMENTS

(58) DECK:

6 - Satisfactory Condition (minor deterioration)

Comments:

Transverse cracks with salt leaching at +- 6-foot spacing under entire deck

(58.01) WEARING SURFACE: 6 - Satisfactory Condition

Comments: One 6-inch diameter spall, (4" deep) at centerline, at mid span. 0.04" wide transverse cracks, spaced at +- 6-feet, entire length of deck.

(59) SUPERSTRUCTURE: 7 - Good Condition (some minor problems)

Comments: Five Steel Beams in Cross-section 30WF-180.

Page 2 of 4

| Inspector: William Dittrich | | | Asset Name: | Test Asset 1 |
|-----------------------------|--|--|-------------------|----------------|
| Inspection Date: 04/06/2022 | | | Facility Carried: | MIGHTY HIGHWAY |

Bridge Inspection Report

(60) SUBSTRUCTURE: 4 - Poor Condition (advanced deterioration)

Comments:

Two wide (0.15") cracks underneath all four bearings, with deep red rust staining. Deep scour underneath the East Abutment footing. Footing undermined about 15%, (4-feet wide and back underneath 2-feet). Critical Finding submitted.

(61) CHANNEL/CHANNEL 4 - Protect. severely undermined. sev. damage PROTECTION

Comments:

Channel has been re-directed by an abandoned car that is now in the channel upstream of the bridge. This is re-directing the channel flow right at the east abutment, causing scour. (Owner of bridge has been notified and asked to remove vehicle and repair scour).

(62) CULVERTS: N - Not Applicable

Comments:

LOAD BATING AND POSTING

Example – When you create a new Inspection Report, the QA from is blank.

| | National Br | <u>ridge Inventory</u> | Qualit | y Control Review |
|----------------------------|------------------------------|---|--------------------------|------------------|
| Status | 1 | SUFFICIENCY RATING 62.5 | REVIEWER | ▼ |
| lde | entification | Condition | DATE OF REVIEW | |
| (1) STATE CODE | 185 💌 | (58) DECK | (58) DECK | (58) COMMENTS |
| (8) STRUCTURE NUMBER | test asset 1 | Rating Comments G Transverse cracks with salt leaching at +- 6-foot spacing | | |
| (5) INV. ROUTE (ON/UNDER) | 1 • 4 • 1 • <u>00000</u> 0 • | under entire deck | | / |
| (2) HIGHWAY AGENCY DISTRIC | T 01 T | | | |
| (3) COUNTY CODE | 065 💌 | | (58.01) WEARING SURFACES | (58.01) COMMENTS |
| (4) PLACE CODE | 00000 | Rating Comments | (30.01) WEARING SURFACES | |
| (6) FEATURES INTERSECTED | MIGHTY RIVER | 6 One 6-inch diameter spall, (4" deep) at centerline, at mid | • | |
| (7) FACILITY CARRIED | MIGHTY HIGHWAY | 0.04" wide transverse cracks, spaced at +- 6-feet, entire | | |
| (9) LOCATION | 0.31 E LOWLY ROAD | // | | |
| (11) MILEPOINT | 0000.000 | (59) SUPERSTRUCTURE | (59.0) SUPERSTRUCTURE | (59.0) COMMENTS |
| INV TYPE M | ▼ INV RT# | Rating Comments 7 Five Steel Beams in Cross-section 30WF-180. | • | |
| REF POST | OFFSET | | | |
| (12) BASE HIGHWAY NETWORK | (<mark>0 –</mark> | | | |
| | | | | U U INDIANA |

- Again, the new QA form is only for INDOT Bridge Inspectors to use right now.
- This is new and will begin to be used later this month, so there will probably be a lot of questions and bugs to work out.
- Consultants can use this if they want there are no permissions, etc. to turn on in BIAS for this to work.



- A new Collision Damage Report has been created in BIAS.
- This is for reporting collision to bridges and approach railing.
- This is primarily for INDOT, but Consultants can use this if they want there are no permissions, etc. to turn on in BIAS for this to work.
- The old <u>Damage Report</u> name has been changed to <u>Damage/Deterioration</u> Report, to better distinguish what it is to be used for.



- The new <u>Collision Damage Report</u> and the <u>Damage/Deterioration</u> Report are created slightly different.
- The <u>Damage/Deterioration</u> Report is created like before, by checking this as an <u>Inspection Type</u>.
- The Collision Damage Report is created by selecting it as a Report Type.



• The <u>Damage/Deterioration</u> Report is created like before, by checking this as an <u>Inspection Type</u>.

| Sent Emails | + Show More Details | Fdit Asset Values | 9 Show on Man | |
|-------------|---------------------|-------------------|----------------------|---|
| | | | | |
| | | | | , |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

• The <u>Damage/Deterioration</u> Report is created like before, by checking this as an Inspection Type.

| Create Inspection Report Based | Dn: | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------|--------|
| O Blank report | | | |
| Asset Values | | | |
| Options: | | | |
| Copy report file | s (photos, etc.) | | |
| Copy previous r | eport section attachments (PDF) | | |
| Part of Summary Report: | | | |
| Not associated with a summary | report 🗸 | | |
| | | | |
| Report Type: | | | |
| Routine 🗸 | | | |
| Inspection Type: | | | |
| Routine | Add'l Structure Details | | |
| Fracture Critical | □ Scour Analysis | | |
| Underwater | □Tunnel | | |
| □ Special | Delinquent | | |
| Scour | □ In-Depth | | |
| Damage/Deterioration | □ Post-Rehabilitation | | |
| □ Other | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | Create | Cancel |
| | | | L |



• The <u>Collision Damage Report</u> is created by selecting it as a <u>Report Type</u>.

| reate Inspection Report F | Based On: |
|---|--|
| O Blank report | |
| Asset Values | |
| Options: | |
| Copy repo | ort files (photos, etc.) |
| Copy prev | vious report section attachments (PDF) |
| | • |
| art of Summary Report: | |
| Not associated with a sun | nmary report 🗸 |
| eport Type: | Soloct from drondown monu |
| | |
| Collision Damage Inspecti | ion ¥ |
| Collision Damage Inspecti spection Type: | on ✓ □ Routine |
| Collision Damage Inspecti spection Type: | on ✓ □ Routine |
| Collision Damage Inspection Type: | Routine New selection for Inspection Type |

• The <u>Collision Damage Report</u> is created by selecting it as a <u>Report Type</u>.

| Blank report | |
|---|---------------|
| Asset Values | |
| Options: | |
| Copy report files (photos, etc.) | |
| Copy previous report section attachment | s (PDF) |
| | |
| art of Summary Report: | |
| Not associated with a summary report | |
| eport Type: | |
| Collision Damage Inspection V | |
| | |
| spection Type: | |
| Collision Damage 🗌 Routine | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| • | |
| | Create Cancel |
| | |
| | |

• Fill in Inspection Info like normal. Notice fewer Forms, if just a Collision Report.

| Inspection Report Information | | | Test Asset 1 |
|--|--|------------------------------------|--|
| Create Date 04/08/2022 Inspection Date: 04/08/2022 NBI (Item 90) Date: 04/06/2022 Inspection Type: Collision Damage Routine | | | Report Info Inspection Info Collision Damage Inspection Pictures/Files |
| User Assignment: Owner: Dittrich,William | Available Users: | Assigned Users: | Location Map Forms |
| AssetWise Inspections INACTIVE Users Viewers | Gonzales, Maricar Mitchell, Nicole Test, Test WSG API, WSG API | Dittrich, William Street, Shari | er |



• Select Collision Damage Inspection Form on right.



• Some NBI Data remains on left – Collision Data on right.

| Collision Dama | g <u>e Inspection</u> IF | THIS IS A CRITICAL FINDING, A CRITICAL FINDING | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---|---|
| Asset Identif | ication | REPORT WILL ALSO NEED TO BE CREATED | |
| (1) STATE CODE | 185 💌 | Initial Inspection | |
| (8) STRUCTURE NUMBER | test asset 1 | INSPECTED BY: | |
| (5) INV. ROUTE (ON/UNDER) | 1 • 4 • 1 • 00000 • • | REPORT INSPECTION DATE | |
| (2) HIGHWAY AGENCY DISTRICT | 01 👻 | INSPECTION TIME (HOURS) | |
| (3) COUNTY CODE | 065 👻 | INCIDENT REPORT DATE | |
| (4) PLACE CODE | 00000 - | INITIAL INSPECTION DESCRIPTION | |
| (6) FEATURES INTERSECTED | MIGHTY RIVER | | |
| (7) FACILITY CARRIED | MIGHTY HIGHWAY | | |
| (9) LOCATION | 0.31 E LOWLY ROAD | CRASH NUMBER | |
| (11) MILEPOINT | 0000.000 | PERMIT NUMBER | |
| INV TYPE M | INV RT# | Where Impacted | |
| REF POST | OFFSET | SUPERSTRUCTURE (OVERHEIGHT LOAD) | - |
| (12) BASE HIGHWAY NETWORK | 0 🗸 | | |
| (13A) LRS INVENTORY ROUTE | | | |
| (13B) SUBROUTE NUMBER | | | |
| (16) LATITUDE | 37.81490 | OTHER LOCATIONS (I.E. BARRIER RAILS, PIERS, ETC.) | |
| (17) LONGITUDE | -88.04961 | | |
| (98A) BORDER BRIDGE CODE | | | 0 |

• Condition Rating Items are available to update if needed.

| (98B) PERCENT RESPONSIBILITY (99) BORDER BRIDGE STRUCT | | ARE PHOITOS ATTACHED ? | |
|---|---------------------------|---|-------------------|
| Structure Type and M | Material | Condition Rating Data | |
| (43) STRUCTURE TYPE, MAIN | 3 ~ 02 ~ | (58) DECK 6 Comments | |
| (44) STRUCTURE TYPE, APPROACH SPANS | 0 ~ 00 ~ | entire deck | |
| (45) NUMBER OF SPANS IN MAIN UNIT | IT 001 | (59) SUPERSTRUCTURE 7 | |
| (46) NUMBER OF APPROACH SPANS | 0 | Comments Five Steel Beams in Cross-section 30WF-180. | |
| (107) DECK STRUCTURE TYPE | 1 | | |
| (108A) WEARING SURFACE | 1 | | |
| (108B) DECK MEMBRANE | N 🗸 | (60) SUBSTRUCTURE 4 | |
| (108C) DECK PROTECTION | 1 | Comments Two wide (0.15") cracks underneath all four bearings, with | |
| Age and Servic | ce | deep red rust staining. Deep scour underneath the East | |
| (27) YEAR BUILT | 1988 | | |
| (106) YEAR RECONSTRUCTED | 0000 | Follow Up Inspection | |
| (42) TYPE OF SERVICE O | On 1 - Under 5 - | FOLLOW UP FOLLW UP DATE | |
| (28) LANES C | On 02 ▼ Under 00 ▼ | | |
| (29) AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC | 000200 | INSPECTOR NAME 1 | |
| (30) YEAR OF AVERAGE DAILY TRAFF | FIC 2015 | INSPECTOR NAME 2 | |
| (109) AVERAGE DAILY TRUCK TRAFFI | IC 05 % | FOLLOW IP INSPECTION TIME (TOTAL HOURS) | |
| (19) BYPASS DETOUR LENGTH | 002 MI. | FOLLOW UP NOTES | Next Level |
| Geometric Dat | ta | | |
| (48) LENGTH OF MAXIMUM SPAN | 00035.0 FT. | | |
INDOT update – New Collision Damage Report

• Normal workflow as other reports.



INDOT update – New Collision Damage Report

• Will be able to see, open, and print report like others in Asset Info Tab.

| Asset Details: Test Asset 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|------------|-----------------|-------------|------------|-------------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Quick View | Asset Info | Files | Maintenance | Scheduling | | | | | | |
| ✓ Completed Reports | | | | | | | | | | |
| Inspection Date | Re | Last evision | Asset Code | Т | Owner | Asset Type 👕 | Inspection Type | NBI 007: Facility Carrie | | |
| 4/8/2022 | 4/8 | /2022 | TA1 | | Dittrich, William | Bridge | Collision Damage | MIGHTY HIGHWAY | | |
| 4/6/2022 | 4/7 | /2022 | TA1 | | Dittrich, William | Bridge | Routine and Delinquent | MIGHTY HIGHWAY | | |
| 4/4/2022 | 4/5 | /2022 | TA1 | | Street, Shari | Bridge | Collision Damage | AAA HWY | | |
| 4/4/2022 | 4/6 | /2022 | TA1 | | Street, Shari | Bridge | Collision Damage | MIGHTY HIGHWAY | | |
| 1/18/2022 | 1/1 | 8/2022 | TA1 | | Trana, Pedro A. | Bridge | Scour Assessment | AAA HWY | | |
| 1/18/2022 | 1/1 | 8/2022 | TA1 | | Trana, Pedro A. | Bridge | Scour Screening | AAA HWY | | |
| 3/20/2020 | 4/6 | /2022 | TA1 | | Dittrich, William | Bridge | Routine | | | |

INDOT update – New Collision Damage Report

• Will be able to see, open, and print report like others in Asset Info Tab.

| Collision Dama | age Inspection | IF THIS IS A CRITICAL FINDING, A CRITICAL FINDING REPORT WILL ALSO NEED TO BE CREATED | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | Initial Inspection | | | |
| 1) STATE CODE | 185 | INSPECTED BY: Burlage, Cristin | | | |
| B) STRUCTURE NUMBER | test asset 1 | REPORT INSPECTION DATE 04/08/2022 | | | |
| 5) INV. ROUTE (ON/UNDER) | 1 4 1 00000 | 0 INSPECTION TIME (HOURS) 1.0 | | | |
| 2) HIGHWAY AGENCY DISTRICT | 01 | INCIDENT REPORT DATE 04/04/2022 | | | |
| 3) COUNTY CODE | 065 | INITIAL INSPECTION DESCRIPTION | | | |
| 4) PLACE CODE | 00000 | truck bit bridge | | | |
| 3) FEATURES INTERSECTED | MIGHTY RIVER | ti dek hit bildge | | | |
| 7) FACILITY CARRIED | MIGHTY HIGHWAY | CRASH NUMBER | | | |
|) LOCATION | 0.31 E LOWLY ROAD | PERMIT NUMBER | | | |
| 11) MILEPOINT | 0000.000 | Where Impacted | | | |
| INV TYPE M | INV RT# | | | | |
| REF POST | OFFSET | SUPERSTRUCTURE (OVERHEIGHT LOAD) | | | |
| 12) BASE HIGHWAY NETWORK | 0 | | | | |
| 13A) LRS INVENTORY ROUTE | | | | | |
| 13B) SUBROUTE NUMBER | | OTHER LOCATIONS (I.E. BARRIER RAILS, PIERS, ETC.) | | | |
| 16) LATITUDE | 37.81490 | | | | |
| 17) LONGITUDE | -88.04961 | hit pier #1 | | | |
| 38A) BORDER BRIDGE CODE | | | | | |
| 38B) PERCENT RESPONSIBILITY | | ARE PHOITOS ATTACHED ? Yes | | | |
| 99) BORDER BRIDGE STRUCT NO. | | Condition Rating Data | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | (58) DECK 6 | | | |

INDOT update

Any Questions...



Quality Inspections

2022 Bridge Inspection Workshop Presented: Cristy Burlage and Jake Gould INDOT Bridge Inspection Area Engineers April 14, 2022



Metric 12 Results

- CPR 650.313 (a)&(b) Quality of Reports
 - Found to be in Substantial Compliance
- Finding:
 - "The review noted instances in which inspectors either did not provide sufficient documentation for the deficiencies found or the condition rating did not reflect the actual bridge condition."
- Actions & Recommendations:
 - "INDOT is to submit an Improvement Plan to FHWA for review and approval that addresses these deficiencies. FHWA recommend that INDOT establishes a formal procedure to provide constructive feedback to inspectors that don't provide bridge inspection documentation in accordance with National Bridge Inspection Standard (NBIS), the AASTHO Manual for Bridge Evaluation (MBE) and INDOT's bridge inspection policies."



INDOT's Response to Metric 12

- Action Item #1 (Completed)
 - Meet with bridge inspection team leaders to review failed inspections.
- Action Item #2
 - Based on Action Item #1, State Program Manager (SPM) may issue probation
 - Two bridge quality assurance inspections will be conducted by Bridge Inspection Area Engineers
 - If inspections are of acceptable quality, probation will be lifted
 - If inspections are not of quality, disqualification of Team Leader status may occur

INDOT's Response to Metric 12

- Action Item #3
 - Number of quality assurance inspections completed by Bridge Inspection Area Engineers increases from 24 to 30.
 - Inspectors will be notified of feedback as completed
- Action Item #4 & #5
 - For each county a consulting firm is responsible, the following will be completed for each Phase 1 & 2 cycle.

- Two (2) quality control office reviews
- One (1) quality control field review

QA Review Expectations

- Consultants
 - 1 QA Field Review & 2 QA Office Reviews per County Responsible for
 - Reviews will be done in the Phase 1 and 2 cycles
- INDOT
 - Area Engineers
 - 1 QA Field and Office Review per District Bridge Inspection Supervisor per year

- 30 QA Field and Office Reviews per year
- District Bridge Inspection Supervisors
 - 2 QA Field and Office Reviews per District Inspector per year
- FHWA
 - 19 QA Field and Office Reviews per year

QA Review Expectations

- Completed reviews are to be uploaded onto BIAS
 - Counties to be uploaded to County file
 - State will be uploaded to individual structure
- It is expected to go over the review with the other person.
 - Create follow-up action if required



QA Review Forms - FHWA

Field Review Checklist **NBIP** Review BIG BEND RD over CROOKED CREEK Str.No.: Rev.Date: Lat(16)/Long(17): State(1): InspDate(90): Deck(107): Main(43): Span(48)/Len(49): Built(27)/Recon(106): Owner(22): ScourCrit(113): ORmeth(63): ADT(29)Yr(30): OR(64): IR(66): Review Team:

Review most recent inspection reports for all applicable inspection types. Bridge is in the population (P) and being assessed at the Int-AL (shaded) for the following metrics:

| M13 | M14 | M16 | M17 | M18 | M19 | M21 |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| I | - | - | - | - | - | - |

Metric 12 – Quality Inspections (Circle all appropriate responses)

| | Item | Recorded Ratings | | Review | | Moets |
|--------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| | | NBI SI&A | Insp Report | Team Rating | Team Rating | Notes |
| des | 58 - Deck | | | | | Y/N (±1 rating) |
| Condition Co | 59 – Super | | | | | Y/N (±1 rating) |
| | 60 – Sub | | | | | Y/N (±1 rating) |
| | 62 - Culvert | | | | | Y/N (±1 rating) |

QA Review Forms - FHWA

| | Criteria | Review Team Insp Report Assessment | Notes/Explanation | Meets Criteria? | L., |
|--------------------------|--|--|---|--------------------|-----|
| | All notable deficiencies identified? | Yes/No/ NA (no notable deficiencies) | | Y/N NA | ä |
| Other Metric 12 Criteria | Narrative justifies cond. ratings? | Yes/No/ NA (narrative not needed) | | Y/N NA | |
| | FC/UW/Complex required & properly done | Yes/No/NA | | Y/N NA | |
| | FC/UW/Complex Report results reflected in condition ratings | Yes/No/NA | | Y/N NA | |
| | Followed MBE procedures? | Yes/No | | Y/N | |
| | Qualified TL present during inspection(s)? | Indicated by identification on report, field | Π.: | Y/N | |
| | UW - Qualified Diver(s) | observation, or other indicators | Diver(s): | Y/N NA | |
| | - | Overall _{Only} | Field Assessment of Adequacy of this Inspection circle Y (Yes) if all the dark shaded boxes above are Yes or NA. | Y/N | • |

QA Review Forms - FHWA

Metric 22 - Minimum Level Review Items (Circle all appropriate responses)

| SI&A Item / Tolerance (* whichever is areater) | NBI SI&A (English units) | Review Team Code (Explain differences; use Notes section if needed) | Meets Accuracy? |
|---|-----------------------------|--|--------------------|
| 70 - BRIDGE POSTING | | | Y/N |
| 41 - STRUCTURE OPEN, POSTED OR CLOSED | | | Y/N |
| 113 - SCOUR CRITICAL BRIDGES | | | Y/N |
| 112 - NBIS BRIDGE LENGTH | | | Y/N |
| 104 - HIGHWAY SYSTEM | | | Y/N |
| 26 - FUNCTIONAL CLASS | | | Y/N |
| 100 - DEFENSE HIGHWAY | | | Y/N |
| 101 - PARALLEL STRUCTURE | | | Y/N |
| 102 - DIRECTION OF TRAFFIC | | | Y/N |
| 103 - TEMPORARY STRUCTURE | | | Y/N |
| 105 - FEDERAL LANDS HIGHWAYS | | | Y/N |
| 110 - DESIGNATED NATIONAL NETWORK | | | Y/N |
| 20 - TOLL | | | Y/N |
| 21 - MAINTENANCE RESPONSIBILITY | | | Y/N |
| 22 - OWNER | | | Y/N |
| 37 - HISTORICAL SIGNIFICANCE | | | Y/N |
| Metric 13/14 Int-AL - Extra Review Items | | 1 | |
| 31 - DESIGN LOAD | | | Y/N |
| 63 - OPERATING RATING METHOD | | | Y/N |
| 64 - OPERATING RATING | | | Y/N |
| 65 - INVENTORY RATING METHOD | | | Y/N |
| 66 - INVENTORY RATING | | | Y/N |

Field Review and Report Creation

- Picture Taking
- Picture Captions
- Component Note Taking
- Executive Summary
- Maintenance Items



Required Pictures (BIM 2-2.06(01))

- Alignment Pictures in Both Directions
 - Postings & Restriction signs should be visible
- Elevation Pictures
 - Both if possible
- Deck, Superstructure, & Substructure
- Upstream and Downstream Channel
- Overall Condition or Deficiencies
- All Components Rated 4 or Less
 - This includes all Fracture Critical Members
- Fracture Critical / Special Members & Connections
 - Each Fracture Critical member should have a picture every 10 years or less

• Must be an Arms Length Inspection

Elevation Pictures



Fracture Critical Pictures



Condition Pictures



South End Bent and Span A Superstructure

Exposed Steel with Heavy Corrosion along the West Coping of Span A Superstructure



Right Side Up or Upside Down?



PHOTO 13

Description Pier 2, east face, facing west.

Thumb Picture



Picture Captions

- Tell the story with your captions
- Avoid abbreviations or overly technical jargon when possible
- Details to include
 - Severity
 - Location
 - Orientation



Picture Captions – Individual Report



PHOTO 18 Condition

Description

Severe deterioration at open longitudinal centerline joint at median, Span B shown, similar in other spans, heavy spalling with fully exposed reinforcement and section loss. Also large amount of debris buildup under Span A shown.

Picture Captions – County Summary Report



Alignment Looking East (15 Ton, One Lane)



Cracks in Beam 2A





Crack at Northwest Corner





North column bent 7



PHOTO 30

Description Bent 2 cap at median



Spalls in Top of Beam (Centerline of Structure)

Component Note Taking

- Make sure that pictures & comments back up rating
- Notes should use same detail as with picture captions, if not more
- Comments should be a summary of that component



Executive Summary

- Tell the story of the bridge
- Tell about components that don't have a field
 - Bridge and approach railing
 - Signage
 - Safety hazards or other difficulties
- Include the deficiencies that drive the overall rating

- Rehab or work history
- Future work planned

Executive Summary Example

Overall Condition Summary

Bridge is posted 5 Tons and One Lane Bridge

Overall bridge is in poor condition. Pier 2 has heavy spalling with exposed steel that has complete rust through in places. Beam 4A has two severed strands with all other beams having a single hairline longitudinal crack. The bridge is scour critical due to the west end bent having heavy undermining which has exposed all four piles.

It is recommended to replace the structure.

Until replacement, it is recommended to underpin the west end bent. It is also recommended to splice in new steel and patch the spall on Pier 2.

<u>History</u>

- 01/01/1947: New Bridge under unknown Des #, Contract # 2889
- 01/01/1997: Rehab A, Bridge Deck Overlay under Des # 9303190, Contract # 22876



Maintenance Items

- Maintenance items should only be created to help maintain or improve the structural integrity of the bridge
- INDOT Standard for Priority Guide
 - Red 1 Month
 - Yellow 3 Months
 - Green 6 Months
 - Gray 12 Months



Fracture Critical Required Fields (BIM 5-5.01(06))

- Framing Plan Identifying all Fracture Critical Components
- Notes and Report
- Work Plan & Hazard Analysis
- Location Map
- National Bridge Inspection Data and Item Codes
- Element Quantities (if applicable)
- Pictures





Fracture Critical Required Fields (BIM 5-5.01(06))

- Required Fields for Each Fracture Critical Component
 - Individual Member Rating
 - AASHTO Fatigue Category
 - Brief Condition Statement
 - Noted Areas of Section Loss
 - Documentation of Fatigue Damage
 - Picture Note (Optional)





Additional Inspection Types

- Special
 - If removal of a special detail inspection is requested, this can be done through the State Program Manager, Tony Marino.
- In-Depth
 - Used as a follow-up inspection based on conditions found during routine inspection
 - High-water
 - Deterioration requiring additional equipment/testing
 - Explain the reasoning for In-Depth inspection in Executive Summary
 - Does <u>not</u> change Item 90 date
- Post-Rehabilitation
 - Used as initial inspection once construction has been completed
 - Does not change Item 90 date


Additional Inspection Types

- Collision Damage Inspection
 - New report type used to better document collision damage

- Delinquent
 - Used to document and explain late inspections
 - Must be done for each individual bridge file
- Other

Office Reviews

- Required files for each bridge
 - Load rating summary and model (if applicable)
 - Values should be reflected in BRADIN and BIAS
 - Values should reflect most recent bridge inspection report
 - Files should be re-uploaded if an updated has been completed
 - Scour Screening and/or Scour Assessment
 - Files should be completed again if the Scour Rating has been updated (Item 113)

NextLevel

- Bridge plans and files should be uploaded to ERMS & BIAS
- Other important files

Miscellaneous Items

- Bridge Report Ownership
 - Include all personnel who participated in the inspection in the "Inspection Info" tab
 - Non-Team Leaders can "own" an inspection report if accompanied by certified Team Leader
- Approve reports within the month of compliance plus 60 days window
- Bridge Inspection Photography Class coming soon

I'm an I'm good with math.

Questions?





THANKS FOR ATTENDING **OUR 2022** INDOT WORKSHOP...